## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/960 735 OOIWA, TOORU Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Dang D Le 2834 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Dang D Le. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) X No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1 . Identification of prior art discussed: LAMBOLN & ENOUGTO ET AL. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SEE KELOW (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) iii It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE SHOWED THAT THE CLAIMS SULLONGED BY THE SPECIFICATION AT PAGES 12-15 MAY FIGURE 4. THE EXAMINER FRANCISED PLAT THE AMENDMENT SEAURES EVERTIER CONSIDERATION AND 1415/02

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

